### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY AT LOUISVILLE

CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 3:98CR-110-H

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

**PLAINTIFF** 

V.

WILSON JOSSIRIN

DEFENDANT

#### **JURY INSTRUCTIONS**

#### **MEMBERS OF THE JURY:**

Now that you have heard all the evidence and the argument of the attorneys, it is my duty to give you instructions regarding the law that you must follow in deciding this case.

It is your duty to decide whether the United States has proved beyond a reasonable doubt the specific facts necessary to find the defendant guilty of the crimes charged in the indictment.

You must make your decision only on the basis of the testimony and other evidence presented here during the trial; and you must not be influenced in any way by either sympathy, prejudice, or bias for or against the defendant or the United States. Justice through trial by jury must always depend upon the willingness of each individual juror to seek the truth from the evidence presented and to apply that truth to the legal instructions I shall give you.

You must follow the law as I explain it to you whether you agree with the law or not; and you must follow all of my instructions as a whole. You may not single out, or disregard, any of the Court's instructions on the law.

The indictment or formal charge against the defendant is not evidence of guilt. The

defendant is presumed by the law to be innocent. The law does not require a defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all. The United States has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so you must find the defendant not guilty.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt.

Possible doubts or doubts based purely on speculation are not reasonable doubts. A reasonable doubt is a real doubt based on reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. It may arise from the evidence, the lack of evidence, or the nature of the evidence.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof that is so convincing that you would not hesitate to rely and act on it in making the most important decisions in your own lives. If you are convinced that the United States has proved the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, say so by returning a guilty verdict. If you are not convinced, say so by returning a not guilty verdict.

As I explained previously, you must decide what the facts are from the evidence that you saw and heard here in court. You may consider only the evidence that I have admitted in this case. The term "evidence" includes the sworn testimony of the witnesses and the exhibits admitted in the record. Do not let rumors, suspicions, or anything else that you may have seen or heard outside of court influence your decision in any way.

Remember that anything the lawyers say is not evidence in the case. It is your own recollection and interpretation of the evidence that controls. What the lawyers say is not binding upon you.

In considering the evidence you may draw reasonable inferences, deductions, and conclusions from the testimony and exhibits which reason and common sense lead you to make.

In saying that you must consider all the evidence, I do not mean that you must necessarily accept all of the evidence as true or accurate. You are the sole judges of the credibility or believability of each witness and the weight to be given to the testimony of each witness.

In deciding whether you believe or do not believe any witness I suggest that you ask yourself a few questions: Did the person impress you as one who was telling the truth? Did he or she have any particular reason not to tell the truth? Did he or she have a personal interest in the outcome of the case? Did the witness seem to have a good memory? Did the witness have the opportunity and ability to observe accurately the things he or she testified about? Did he or she appear to understand the questions clearly and answer them directly? Did the witness's testimony differ from the testimony of other witnesses?

Do not make any decisions based only on the number of witnesses who testified. What is more important is how believable the witnesses were, and how much weight you think their testimony deserves. Remember, witnesses are not the property of either defendant or the United States; they merely give testimony which you should consider. Concentrate on that, not the numbers.

Evidence has been offered of Wilson Jossirin's traits of character, and such evidence may give rise to a reasonable doubt. Where a defendant has offered testimony that he is an honest and law-abiding citizen, the jury should consider that testimony, along with all the other evidence, in deciding whether the United States has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the

defendant willfully committed the crime charged.

The lawyers for both sides objected to some of the things that were said or done during the trial. Do not hold that against either side. The lawyers have a duty to object whenever they think that something is not permitted by the rules of evidence. Those rules are designed to make sure that both sides receive a fair trial.

Also, do not interpret my rulings on their objections as any indication of how I think the case should be decided. My rulings were based on the rules of evidence, not on how I feel about the case.

In this case, the indictment charges two separate offenses called "counts." The number of charges is not evidence of guilt, and this should not influence your decision in any way. The defendant is on trial only for the particular crimes charged in the indictment. It is your duty to consider separately the evidence that relates to each charge, and to return a separate verdict for each charge. For each charge, you must decide whether the United States has presented proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of that particular charge. Your decision on one charge, whether it is guilty or not guilty, should not influence your decision on any of the other charges.

#### INSTRUCTION NO. 1

Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1546, makes it a crime for anyone to knowingly possess a false or counterfeit alien registration receipt card.

As to Count 1, for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, you must be convinced that the United States has proved each of the following beyond a reasonable doubt:

**First**: That the defendant knowingly used, possessed, obtained or accepted an alien registration receipt card as evidence of authorized employment in the United States.

**Second:** That the document specified above was procured unlawfully or by fraud; and

**Third:** That the defendant knew at the time he engaged in this conduct that the specified document was procured unlawfully or by fraud.

#### INSTRUCTION NO. 2

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, makes it a crime for anyone to knowingly and willfully make a false or fraudulent statement to a department or agency of the United States.

As to Count 2, for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, you must be convinced that the United States has proved each of the following beyond a reasonable doubt.

**First:** That August 10, 1998, the defendant made a false statement to the United States Naturalization and Immigration Service concerning his filing of an asylum application.

**Second:** That the defendant made that statement willfully and knowing it was false.

**Third:** That that statement was material to decisions made by the United States Naturalization and Immigration Service.

A statement is material if it has a natural tendency to influence, or is capable of influencing, a decision of the United States Naturalization and Immigration Service.

It is not necessary to show that the United States Naturalization and Immigration Service was in fact misled.

The word "knowingly," as that term has been used in these instructions, means that the act was done voluntarily and intentionally and not because of mistake or accident.

Any verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror. In order to return a verdict, it is necessary that each juror agree. Your verdict must be unanimous.

To find the defendant guilty, every one of you must agree that the United States has overcome the presumption of innocence with evidence that proves his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

To find him not guilty, every one of you must agree that the United States has failed to convince you beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now that all the evidence is in and the arguments are completed, you are free to talk about the case in the jury room. It is your duty as jurors to consult with one another, and to deliberate with a view toward reaching agreement, if you can do so without violence to individual judgment. Talk with each other, listen carefully and respectfully to each other's views, and keep an open mind as you listen to what your fellow jurors have to say.

You must decide the case for yourself, but only after an impartial consideration of the case with your fellow jurors. In the course of your deliberations, do not hesitate to reexamine your own views and change your opinion, if convinced it is erroneous. But do not surrender your honest conviction as to the weight or effect of the evidence, solely because of the opinion of your fellow jurors, or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict.

Remember, you are the judges of the facts. Your only interest is to seek the truth from the evidence in the case.

No one will be allowed to hear your discussions in the jury room, and no record will be made of what you say. So you should all feel free to speak your minds.

If you decide that the United States has proved the defendant guilty, then it will be my

job to decide what the appropriate punishment should be. It would violate your oaths as jurors to even consider the possible punishment in deciding your verdict. Your job is to look at the evidence and decide if the United States has proved the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Upon retiring to the jury room, you will select one of your number to act as your foreperson. The foreperson will preside over your deliberations and will be your spokesperson here in court.

A Verdict Form has been prepared for your convenience. You will take this form to the jury room and, if and when you have reached unanimous agreement as to your verdict, you will have your foreperson fill in, date, and sign the forms which set forth the verdict with respect to each count in the case. You will then return with your verdict to the courtroom.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY AT LOUISVILLE

## CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 3:98CR-110-H

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		PLAINTIFF
V.		
WILSON JOSSIRIN		DEFENDANT
	VERDICT FORM	
WE, THE JURY, find Wilson	ı Jossirin,	
As to Count 1	GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
As to Count 2	GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
	FOREPI Date:	ERSON